

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Hacena et al.

Application No.: 10/785,602

Group No.: 2683

Filed: 24 February 2004

Examiner: Michael Vu

Title: WIRELESS COMMUNICATION NETWORK FOR PROCESSING CALL TRAFFIC  
OVER A BACKHAUL NETWORK

TELEPHONE INTERVIEW SUMMARY

*Applicants submit this telephone interview summary to meet the requirements of 37  
C.F.R. § 1.133(b), and according to the requirements listed in MPEP § 713.04.*

Date/Type of Interview: telephone interview conducted on 18 December 2006

Examiner: Michael Vu

Name of Applicant's attorney: M. Gratton

Exhibits shown or demonstrations conducted: None

Claims discussed: 1, 8 and 15

Prior art discussed: None

General thrust of Examiner's arguments: see below

General thrust of Applicant's arguments: see below

Agreement reached and general nature of the agreement: see below

Proposed amendments: see below

Other pertinent matters:

Applicant's undersigned attorney and the Examiner discussed claims 1, 8 and 15. Specifically, the Examiner stated that claims 1 and 8 are in allowable form, but claim 15 is still not distinguished over the prior art.

The Examiner suggested Applicants cancel claim 15 and dependent claims 16-19. Applicants agreed to cancel claims 15-19. The Examiner agreed to cancel these claims in an Examiner's amendment.

Date: 19 December 2006



---

**SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER**

Max Gratton, Reg. No. 56,541  
Attorney for Applicant and Assignee  
Duft Bornsen & Fishman, LLP  
Telephone: (303) 786-7687  
Facsimile: (303) 786-7691